Partitioning of a polymer chain between a confining cavity and a gel

Stefan Tsonchev, $\frac{1}{2}$ Rob D. Coalson, $\frac{2}{3}$ and Anthony Duncan³

1 *Department of Chemistry, Northeastern Illinois University, Chicago, Illinois 60625, USA*

2 *Department of Chemistry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260, USA*

3 *Department of Physics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260, USA*

(Received 12 June 2007; published 16 October 2007)

A lattice field theory approach to the statistical mechanics of charged polymers in electrolyte solutions [S. Tsonchev, R. D. Coalson, and A. Duncan, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 4257 (1999)] is applied to the study of a polymer chain contained in a spherical cavity but able to diffuse into a surrounding gel. The distribution of the polymer chain between the cavity and the gel is described by its partition coefficient, which is computed as a function of the number of monomers in the chain, the monomer charge, and the ion concentrations in the solution.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.76.041804](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.041804)

PACS number(s): 61.25.Hq, 61.41.+e, 82.35.Lr, 83.80.Kn

I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of partitioning of a polymer chain confined to move within large cavities embedded into a hydrogel has received attention with some interesting experiments per-formed by Liu et al. [[1](#page-5-0)]. These authors investigated the socalled "entropic trapping" phenomenon, which describes the preferential localization of a polymer chain within large cavities embedded in a hydrogel due to the larger conformational entropy of the chain in them. Therefore, this phenomenon has been suggested as a basis for potential new methods of polymer separation. In this context, the problem is also relevant and can lead to better understanding and possible improvement of many existing separation methods, such as membrane separation, filtration, gel electrophoresis, size exclusion chromatography, etc. $[2]$ $[2]$ $[2]$, all of which utilize the dependence of macromolecular mobility through a network of random obstacles on molecular properties, such as molecular weight, monomer charge, electrolyte composition, etc. The practical importance of these techniques has motivated a number of investigations of polymer separation between cavities of different size $[3-7]$ $[3-7]$ $[3-7]$.

In our earlier work $\lceil 6, 7 \rceil$ we used lattice field theory calculations to study polymer separation between two spheres of different size—a simplified model of the more complicated system of the polymer moving between large cavities embedded in a hydrogel, with the larger sphere playing the role of the cavities and the small sphere corresponding to the connecting channels in the gel. We investigated the dependence of the partition coefficient *K*, defined as the ratio of the average number of monomers in the two respective spheres, as a function of the total number of monomers in the chain, the excluded volume interaction between them, the monomer charge, and the concentration of electrolytes in the solution. Our results were qualitatively in accord with the experiments of Liu *et al.* $\lceil 1 \rceil$ $\lceil 1 \rceil$ $\lceil 1 \rceil$ and with related computer simulations $\lceil 8 \rceil$ $\lceil 8 \rceil$ $\lceil 8 \rceil$.

Recently, several field-theoretic approaches for treatment of charged polyelectrolyte systems have been proposed. For example, Wang *et al.* presented a self-consistent field theory based on the mean field approximation $[9]$ $[9]$ $[9]$. Then, a promising field-theoretic methodology valid beyond the mean field approximation, based on the so-called "tadpole renormalization procedure" was developed by Baeurle *et al.* [[10](#page-5-7)]. This

method was first successfully applied to prototypical polyelectrolyte models, and lately on solutions of polyelectrolyte chains $[11]$ $[11]$ $[11]$ where the "tadpole renormalization" concept was combined with the Hartree renormalization procedure.

In this work we apply the lattice field theory approach to the more complex system of a polymer chain moving within a large spherical cavity embedded in a network of random obstacles.

In Sec. II of the paper, for continuity of the presentation, we review the lattice field theory of charged polymer chains in electrolyte solution $[7]$ $[7]$ $[7]$. In Sec. III we describe the Lanczos approach for finding the energy spectrum of the Schrödinger Hamiltonian problem (arising from the polymer part of the partition function $[7]$ $[7]$ $[7]$), and the resolvent approach for extracting the corresponding eigenvectors. Section IV describes the numerical procedure for solving the mean field equations of the system, and in Sec. V we present and discuss our results. In Sec. VI we conclude our presentation.

II. REVIEW OF LATTICE FIELD THEORY OF CHARGED POLYMER CHAINS IN ELECTROLYTE SOLUTION

In Ref. $[12]$ $[12]$ $[12]$ we derived the following functional integral expression for the full partition function of a charged polymer in an electrolyte solution with short-range monomer repulsion interactions

$$
Z = \int D\chi(\vec{r})D\omega(\vec{r})e^{\beta \varepsilon/8\pi \int \chi \Delta \chi d\vec{r} - \lambda/2 \int \omega(\vec{r})^2 d\vec{r} + c_+ \int e^{i\epsilon \beta} \chi d\vec{r} + c_- \int e^{-i\epsilon \beta} \chi d\vec{r}} \times Z_{\text{Schr}}(\chi, \omega).
$$
\n(1)

Here, $\beta = 1/kT$ is the inverse temperature, ε is the dielectric constant of the solution, e is the proton charge, λ is a measure of the strength of the excluded volume interaction, χ and ω are auxiliary fields, $c_{\pm} = e^{\beta \mu_{\pm}} / \lambda_{\pm}^{3}$ with μ_{\pm} and λ_{\pm} being the chemical potentials and the thermal deBroglie wavelengths for the ions, respectively. The polymer part $Z_{\text{Schr}}(\chi, \omega)$ in Eq. ([1](#page-0-0)) refers to a Euclidean-time $(T=M=$ total number of monomers) amplitude for an equivalent Schrödinger problem based on the Hamiltonian

$$
H = -\frac{a_p^2}{6}\vec{\nabla}^2 + \lambda \omega_c(\vec{r}) + \beta p e \chi_c(\vec{r}),
$$
 (2)

where a_p is the Kuhn length and p is the charge per monomer. The mean-field equations corresponding to the purely real saddle-point configuration fields $\chi_c = i\chi$, $\omega_c = i\omega$ are obtained by setting the variational derivative of the exponent in the full functional integral (1) (1) (1) to zero. For the case of a polymer with free ends (the only situation considered in this paper), the polymer amplitude Z_{Schr} can be written in terms of sums over eigenstates of H as follows $[7]$ $[7]$ $[7]$:

$$
Z_{\text{Schr}} = \int dx_i dx_f \sum_n \Psi_n(x_i) \Psi_n(x_f) e^{-ME_n} = \sum_n A_n^2 e^{-ME_n} \equiv e^{F_{\text{pol}}},\tag{3}
$$

where E_n is the *n*th energy eigenvalue,

$$
A_n \equiv \int d\vec{r} \Psi_n(\vec{r}), \qquad (4)
$$

and

$$
F_{\text{pol}} = \ln\left(\sum_{n} A_n^2 e^{-ME_n}\right) \tag{5}
$$

 $\overline{}$

is the negative of the polymer contribution to the free energy. Thus, the mean-field result for the negative of the total free energy is

$$
F = \int d\vec{r} \left\{ \frac{\beta \varepsilon}{8\pi} |\vec{\nabla}\chi_c|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \omega_c^2 + c_+ e^{\beta e \chi_c} + c_- e^{-\beta e \chi_c} \right\}
$$

+ $F_{\text{pol}}(\chi_c, \omega_c)$. (6)

Varying the functional ([6](#page-1-0)) with respect to the fields χ_c , ω_c one obtains the mean-field equations

$$
\frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi e} \vec{\nabla}^2 \chi_c(\vec{r}) = c_+ e^{\beta e \chi_c(\vec{r})} - c_- e^{-\beta e \chi_c(\vec{r})} - p\rho(\vec{r}),\tag{7}
$$

$$
\frac{a_p^2}{6}\vec{\nabla}^2\Psi_n(\vec{r}) = \lambda \rho(\vec{r})\Psi_n(\vec{r}) + \beta p e \chi_c(\vec{r})\Psi_n(\vec{r})
$$

$$
-[E_n - V_m(\vec{r})]\Psi_n(\vec{r}), \qquad (8)
$$

where ρ , defined as

$$
\rho(\vec{r}) = -\frac{\sum_{n,m} \frac{A_n \Psi_n A_m \Psi_m}{E_n - E_m} (e^{-ME_n} - e^{-ME_m})}{\sum_n A_n^2 e^{-ME_n}},
$$
(9)

is the total monomer density. The equations presented here apply for polymer chains of arbitrary length, provided all (or a sufficient number) of the states are included in the sums above. The single-particle potential $V_m(\vec{r})$ has been included to enforce an exclusion region for the monomers $[12]$ $[12]$ $[12]$. Note that the parameters c_{\pm} are exponentials of the chemical potentials μ_{\pm} for positively and negatively charged ions. The numbers of these ions must be fixed by suitably adjusting c_{\pm} to satisfy the relations

$$
n_{\pm} = c_{\pm} \frac{\partial \ln(Z)}{\partial c_{\pm}} = c_{\pm} \int e^{\pm \beta e \chi_c} d\vec{r}.
$$
 (10)

The advantage of working with *F* is that, as shown in Ref. [[7](#page-5-3)], it has a unique minimum, and thus, can be used to guide a numerical search for the mean electrostatic and monomer density fields. Once the mean fields have been computed, the defining relation $\ln Z \cong F(\chi_c, \omega_c)$ can be used to obtain free energies of various types. For example, the Helmholtz free energy *A* (corresponding to fixed numbers of monomers and impurity ions) is given by

$$
\beta A = n_{+} \ln c_{+} + n_{-} \ln c_{-} - F(\chi_{c}, \omega_{c}). \tag{11}
$$

Following the procedure of Ref. $[12]$ $[12]$ $[12]$, we now move from the continuum to a discrete three-dimensional lattice by rescaling according to

$$
f(\vec{r}) \rightarrow \beta e \chi_c(\vec{r}), \quad \Psi_N(\vec{r}) \rightarrow a_l^{3/2} \Psi_N(\vec{r})
$$

and multiplying Eq. ([7](#page-1-1)) by a_l^3 (a_l being the lattice spacing). This leads to the following discretized version of Eqs. (7) (7) (7) and (8) (8) (8) on a 3D lattice:

$$
\alpha \sum_{\vec{m}} \Delta_{\vec{n}\vec{m}} f_{\vec{m}} = \gamma_+ e^{f_{\vec{n}}} - \gamma_- e^{-f_{\vec{n}}} - p \rho_{\vec{n}},
$$
(12)

$$
\frac{a_p^2}{6a_l^2} \sum_{\vec{m}} \Delta_{\vec{n}\vec{m}} \Psi_{N,\vec{m}} = \frac{\lambda M}{a_l^3} \rho_{\vec{n}} \Psi_{N,\vec{n}} + p f_{\vec{n}} \Psi_{N,\vec{n}} - E_N \Psi_{N,\vec{n}},
$$

where

 a_p^2

$$
\alpha = \frac{\varepsilon a_l}{4\pi \beta e^2},\tag{14}
$$

 (13)

$$
\gamma_{\pm} = \frac{n_{\pm}}{\sum_{\vec{n}} e^{\pm f_{\vec{n}}}},\tag{15}
$$

and the wave functions are dimensionless and normalized according to

$$
\sum_{\vec{n}} \Psi_{N,\vec{n}}^2 = 1; \tag{16}
$$

thus, the density ρ_n sums to the total number of monomers *M*.

III. EXTRACTION OF EIGENSPECTRUM AND EIGENFUNCTIONS FOR POLYMER EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN

The simultaneous relaxation solution of Eqs. (12) (12) (12) and ([13](#page-1-4)) requires a rapid and efficient extraction of the eigenvalues and low-lying eigenvectors of the operator *H*, which amounts—once the problem has been set up on a discrete finite three-dimensional lattice—to a large sparse real symmetric matrix. We have found it convenient to use distinct algorithms to extract the low-lying spectrum and eigenvectors of *H* (typically we need on the order of 10–30 of the

lowest states for the shortest polymer chains studied here, while for the longest polymer chains only one to three states suffice). The eigenvalues are extracted using the Lanczos technique [[13](#page-5-10)]. Starting from a random initial vector w_0 $\equiv v_1$, one generates a series of orthonormal vectors v_1, v_2, \ldots , by the following recursion:

$$
v_{n+1} = w_n/\beta_n, \quad n \to n+1, \quad \alpha_n = (v_n, Hv_n),
$$

$$
w_n = (H - \alpha_n I)v_n - \beta_{n-1}v_{n-1}, \quad \beta_n = \sqrt{(w_n, w_n)},
$$

where α_n , β_n are real numbers, with $\beta_0 = 1$ and $v_0 = 0$. The matrix of *H* in the basis spanned by v_n is tridiagonal with the number α_n (β_n) on the diagonal (respectively, superdiagonal and subdiagonal). Carrying the Lanczos recursion to order N, diagonalization of the resulting $N \times N$ tridiagonal matrix leads, for large *N*, to increasingly accurate approximants to the exact eigenvalues of *H*. The presence of spurious eigenvalues (which must be removed by the sieve method of Cullum and Willoughby $[14]$ $[14]$ $[14]$) means that typically a few hundred Lanczos steps must be performed to extract the lowest 30 or 40 eigenvalues of H (for dimensions of H of order 10⁵ as studied here) to double precision.

Once the low-lying spectrum of *H* has been extracted by the Lanczos procedure, as outlined above, the corresponding eigenvectors are best obtained by a resolvent procedure. Supposing λ_n to be the exact *n*th eigenvalue of *H* (as obtained by the Lanczos method), and ψ_n the corresponding eigenvector, then for any random vector ψ_{ran} with nonzero overlap with ψ_n , the vector obtained by applying the resolvent

$$
\psi_{n,\text{approx}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_n + \epsilon - H} \psi_{\text{ran}} \tag{17}
$$

is an increasingly accurate (unnormalized) approximant to the exact eigenvector ψ_n as the shift ϵ is taken to zero. A convenient algorithm for performing the desired inverse is the biconjugate gradient method (see routine LINBCG in Ref. [[15](#page-5-12)]). We have found the combination of Lanczos and conjugate gradient techniques to be a rapid and efficient approach to the extraction of the needed low-lying spectrum.

IV. SOLVING THE MEAN-FIELD EQUATIONS FOR A POLYMER CHAIN CONFINED TO MOVE WITHIN A SPHERICAL CAVITY EMBEDDED IN A GEL

Equations (12) (12) (12) and (13) (13) (13) are solved simultaneously using the following relaxation procedure $[12]$ $[12]$ $[12]$. First, the Schrödinger equation ([13](#page-1-4)) is solved for $f_{n} = 0$ and ignoring the nonlinear (monomer repulsion) potential term. The resulting $\Psi_{N,n}$'s and corresponding energy levels E_N (wave functions and energy eigenvalues of a particle confined to the cavity in a gel system) are used to calculate $\rho_{\vec{n}}$, then the Poisson-Boltzmann equation (12) (12) (12) is solved at each lattice point using a simple line minimization procedure $[16]$ $[16]$ $[16]$. The process is repeated and the coefficients γ are updated after a few iterations until a predetermined accuracy is achieved. Then the resulting $f_{\vec{n}}$ is used in Eq. ([13](#page-1-4)), which is solved using the Lanczos method [[13](#page-5-10)] for a new set of $\Psi_{N,n}$'s to be used in calculating an updated version of the monomer den-

FIG. 1. ln *K* vs *M* for varying monomer charge *p* and fixed number of negative impurity ions $n=600$, which corresponds to molar concentration $C \approx 0.996M$.

sity $\rho_{\vec{n}}$. This density is then inserted into Eq. ([12](#page-1-3)) and a new version of $f_{\vec{n}}$ is computed. For numerical stability, the updated $f_{\vec{n}}$ inserted into Eq. ([13](#page-1-4)) is obtained by adding a small fraction of the new $f_{\vec{n}}$ [just obtained from Eq. ([12](#page-1-3))] to the old one (saved from the previous iteration). The same "slow charging" procedure is used for updating ρ_n in the nonlinear potential term of the Schrödinger equation ([13](#page-1-4)).

This numerical procedure has been applied to the system of a polymer chain moving within a cavity embedded in a network of random obstacles. We carve a spherical cavity of radius $10a_l$ in the middle of a cube with a side-length of $40a_l$ on a 40³ lattice. The Kuhn length, $a_p = 2a_l$, and in absolute units we take $a_p = 5$ Å. Then the random obstacles are created by randomly selecting 20% of the remaining lattice points in the cube outside the carved sphere to be off limits for the polymer chain. Thus, the random obstacles take 20% of the gel, that is, 80% of the gel volume plus the cavity volume is available for the chain to move in. On the other hand, the impurity ions are free to move within the whole volume of the system. The monomer repulsion parameter λ is fixed throughout the computations through the dimensionless parameter ζ by the following relation:

$$
\zeta = 4\pi \frac{\lambda}{a_p^3},\tag{18}
$$

with the dimensionless parameter taken to have the value ζ =5.

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have computed the log of the partition coefficient *K* $\equiv \langle M_1 \rangle / \langle M_2 \rangle$, where $\langle M_1 \rangle$ and $\langle M_2 \rangle$ are the number of monomers in the spherical cavity and the remaining gel, respectively, as a function of the total number of monomers in the system, $M = \langle M_1 \rangle + \langle M_2 \rangle$, for varying monomer charge *p* and varying number of ions in the system. In Fig. [1](#page-2-0) we show

FIG. 2. ln *K* vs *M* for varying number of negative impurity ions *n* and fixed monomer charge *p*=−0.1.

the plot of ln *K* vs *M* for two different monomer charges *p* $=$ -0.1 and $p =$ -0.2 (all in units of *e*), and a fixed number of 600 negative impurity coions in the system, while the number of the positive counterions is fixed according to the condition for electroneutrality.

We see that the partition coefficient *K* increases with *M* for only the shortest polymer chains, goes through a turnover, and from then on decreases continuously as the number of monomers is increased. As in our previous work $[6,7]$ $[6,7]$ $[6,7]$ $[6,7]$, we observe that smaller monomer charge leads to higher partition coefficient, due to the weaker repulsion between the monomers. In Fig. [2](#page-3-0) we show how the partition coefficient varies as we vary the number of negative impurity ions in the system. As expected, the higher number of ions leads to better screening of the monomer charges, hence less repulsion and larger *K*.

FIG. 3. The average radial density $\rho(r)$ as a function of the distance from the center of the spherical cavity *r*, expressed in units of *al*, for the three sets of parameters considered here in the case of $M = 40$.

FIG. 4. Same as in Fig. [3,](#page-3-1) but for *M* = 300.

Qualitatively, this behavior is similar to what we observed in our previous work $\lceil 6, 7 \rceil$ $\lceil 6, 7 \rceil$ $\lceil 6, 7 \rceil$; however, the partition coefficient shown here decreases for almost the whole range of *M*, and is, in fact, much larger than the coefficients reported earlier $[6,7]$ $[6,7]$ $[6,7]$ $[6,7]$ for partitioning of a polymer chain between two spheres. This can be explained as a result of the much smaller voids that arise between the random obstacles in the gel outside of the spherical cavity, compared to the smaller of the two spheres treated in Refs. $[6,7]$ $[6,7]$ $[6,7]$ $[6,7]$, which, in the Schrödinger language, means that, even though the volume available for the polymer chain outside of the spherical cavity is much greater than the volume of the cavity itself, the energy levels of the excited states that lead to a higher monomer density outside of the cavity are too high (due to the strong confinement in the narrow voids), so that the chain is largely confined to the cavity. Only for the cases of very large *M* do we observe a non-negligible monomer density outside of the cavity. This is illustrated in Figs. [3](#page-3-1) and [4,](#page-3-2) where we plot the averaged radial density of monomers starting from the center of the spherical cavity for the three different sets of monomer charge and impurity ion concentration parameters presented here. In Fig. [3](#page-3-1) we plot the radial density for the case of relatively small number of monomers $M=40$ and we see that virtually all of the monomers are confined to the spherical cavity, while in Fig. [4,](#page-3-2) which represents the case of $M = 300$, we observe a small but nonnegligible contribution to the monomer density from the region outside of the cavity.

In Figs. [5](#page-4-0) and [6](#page-4-1) we show the plots of the electric potential $f(r)$ corresponding to the parameters of Figs. [3](#page-3-1) and [4,](#page-3-2) respectively. We can qualitatively compare the results from Figs. $3-6$ $3-6$ to our previous results in Ref. [[12](#page-5-9)], where we computed the monomer density and the electric potential for a charged polymer chain confined to move within a sphere. It is clear that in both cases the shape of the monomer density distribution and the electric potential are quite similar, which is an illustration of the fact that the spherical cavity embedded in the gel does indeed act as an "entropic trap" for the polymer chain, and for most of the range of reasonable physical pa-

FIG. 5. Electric potential $f(r)$ for the parameters corresponding to Fig. [3.](#page-3-1)

rameters the system behaves approximately as a polymer chain in a spherical cavity. In Figs. [5](#page-4-0) and [6](#page-4-1) we see that, for the case of lower counterion numbers, the electric potential $f(r)$ drops to negative values at large radial distance. Nevertheless, it does approach (up to finite lattice size corrections) zero slope, or equivalently, zero electric field, consistent with the overall electrical neutrality of the system.

It is interesting that a new scaling regime is observed in both curves of Fig. [2,](#page-3-0) where at around $M = 120$ there is a noticeable change in the slope of ln K. This change in scaling regime is similar to the crossover between different power laws in the dependence of osmotic pressure on monomer concentration reported in Ref. $[11]$ $[11]$ $[11]$. There, the different scaling law was attributed to a crossover from outer-chain contraction to inner-chain contraction. We think that further studies are needed to make the connection between this phenomenon and the scaling regime change observed in Fig. [2.](#page-3-0)

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have applied a previously developed lattice field theory approach to the statistical mechanics of a charged polymer chain in electrolyte solution $[6,7,12]$ $[6,7,12]$ $[6,7,12]$ $[6,7,12]$ $[6,7,12]$ to the problem of a charged polymer chain moving in a spherical cavity embedded in a gel. This problem is more relevant to real experimental situations involving charged polymer chains in a complex environment than the two-sphere problem studied by us earlier $\lceil 6, 7 \rceil$ $\lceil 6, 7 \rceil$ $\lceil 6, 7 \rceil$. The results of this work demonstrate the capability of the approach to treat more complex systems of arbitrary shape in three dimensions, and also confirm the expectations that a large spherical void carved out from a

FIG. 6. Electric potential $f(r)$ for the parameters corresponding to Fig. [4.](#page-3-2)

network of random obstacles can act as a "trap" for polymer chains, and therefore, may serve as a prototype for methods of polymer separation based on macromolecular weight, monomer charge, and/or electrolyte composition. The results presented here confirm our previous contention $\left[6,7,12\right]$ $\left[6,7,12\right]$ $\left[6,7,12\right]$ $\left[6,7,12\right]$ $\left[6,7,12\right]$ that chains with smaller monomer charge would be easier to separate by a technique exploiting the idea of "entropic trapping." Similarly, for chains with fixed monomer charge, a better separation would be achieved in solutions with higher impurity ion concentration—a parameter which is typically varied in the laboratory.

It is important to note that the method used here is based on the mean field approximation, and therefore, the results should be considered only as qualitative. Nevertheless, one can expect that the long range of the electrostatic interaction and the strong confinement of the polymer chain inside the spherical cavity would result in weakly fluctuating density and electrostatic fields and would make the mean field approximation reliable $\lceil 17 \rceil$ $\lceil 17 \rceil$ $\lceil 17 \rceil$. There are, however, a number of cases for which the mean field approximation is not reliable $[17,18]$ $[17,18]$ $[17,18]$ $[17,18]$, such as the case of dilute polyelectrolyte solutions. In these situations, field-theoretic approaches that go beyond the mean field approximation must be used, such as the techniques described in Refs. $[10-12]$ $[10-12]$ $[10-12]$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

R.D.C. gratefully acknowledges the support of NSF Grant No. CHE-0518044. The research of A.D. is supported in part by NSF Contract No. PHY-0554660.

- [1] L. Liu, P. Li, and S. A. Asher, Nature (London) 397, 141 (1999); J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121, 4040 (1999).
- [2] D. Rodbard and A. Chrambach, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 65, 970 (1970); G. Guillot, L. Leger, and F. Rondelez, Macromolecules **18**, 2531 (1985).
- [3] E. F. Casassa, Polym. Lett. 5, 773 (1967).
- [4] E. F. Casassa and Y. Tagami, Macromolecules 2, 14 (1969).
- [5] M. Muthukumar and A. Baumgärtner, Macromolecules 22, 1937 (1989).
- 6 S. Tsonchev and R. D. Coalson, Chem. Phys. Lett. **327**, 238 $(2000).$
- 7 S. Tsonchev, R. D. Coalson, and A. Duncan, Phys. Rev. E **62**, 799 (2000).
- 8 S.-S. Chern and R. D. Coalson, J. Chem. Phys. **111**, 1778 $(1999).$
- 9 Q. Wang, T. Taniguchi, and G. H. Fredrickson, J. Phys. Chem. B 108, 6733 (2004).
- [10] S. A. Baeurle, M. Charlot, and E. A. Nogovitsin, Phys. Rev. E

75, 011804 (2007).

- [11] S. A. Baeurle and E. A. Nogovitsin, Polymer 48, 4883 (2007).
- 12 S. Tsonchev, R. D. Coalson, and A. Duncan, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 4257 (1999).
- [13] G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations* (Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 1996).
- 14 J. Cullum and R. A. Willoughby, J. Comput. Phys. **44**, 329 $(1981).$
- [15] W. H. Press, B. P. Flannery, S. A. Teukolsky, and W. T. Vetterling, *Numerical Recipes in C: The Art of Scientific Comput*ing (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992).
- [16] A. M. Walsh and R. D. Coalson, J. Chem. Phys. **100**, 1559 $(1994).$
- [17] S. Tsonchev, R. D. Coalson, S.-S. Chern, and A. Duncan, J. Chem. Phys. 113, 8381 (2000).
- 18 G. H. Fredrickson, *The Equilibrium Theory of Inhomogeneous* Polymers (Clarendon, Oxford, 2006), and references therein.